Formation of Atoll Garnets in the Banded Iron Formation of Maru Schist Belt

Aliyu Abdu IBRAHIM Department of Geology Ahmadu Bello University Zaria, Nigeria.

Abstract

Petrographic and microprobe analyses from the banded iron formations (BIF) of the Maru Schist Belt shows that the BIF contain occurrences of atoll-like garnets. The formation of the atoll garnet is discussed using textural, chemical and Backscatter Electron image (BSE) characteristics. The garnets are of almandine (Alm - 45) composition in the outer rims, with increased amount of spessartine (Spst - 40) in the central rims, and a low amount of grossularite and pyrope. The garnet replaced by chlorite (chamosite), with magnetite inclusions are contained in silicate facies BIF. Textural evidence reveals incipient garnet replacement by chamosite along the Fe - rich rims. Based on the microprobe analysis and BSE data it is supposed that atoll-like forms of the garnets in the BIF developed by replacement of the preexisting garnets mainly by chamosite, hematite, and ilmenite under varying temperature pressure conditions (from high grade - garnet zone, to low grade - chlorite zone) typical of polymetamorphic regions.

1.0 Introduction

Some metamorphic garnets display microstructures such as coronas, symplectite texture, fishnet texture, atoll structure and patchy growth fabrics, the particularly intriguing atoll microstructures are thought to be a special variety of corona texture consisting of a garnet ring surrounding a mixture of several other phases and/or island-shaped garnet fractions. Atoll garnets commonly consists of a complete or almost complete rim of garnet with an interior filled with any combination of biotite, muscovite, feldspar, quartz and iron oxide (Semellie, 1974). In several cases garnet islands can be seen inside the rims (Homam, 2003). Generally, all atoll garnets show some breaching of their characteristic idioblastic outline. Atoll – like garnets represent a specific type of garnets whose genesis has not been unambiguously resolved yet. Previous works emphasized the replacement of the core of what used to be a complete garnet as the mechanism for the atoll texture. However, recent studies suggest that prograde breakdown of garnet due to clockwise P-T path is responsible for the formation of atoll garnet in regional metamorphosed pelitic rocks (Gibson, 1992; Casco and Roldan, 1996).

The atoll garnets occur in a variety of medium – high grade metamorphic complexes. There are known occurrences of atoll-like garnets from Ireland, (Homam, 2003); the Bohemian Massif (Spisiak and Hovorka, 2003); China (O'brien and Carswell, 2006). They are found to be associated with contact metamorphosed pelitic rocks in the British Isles (Atherton and Edmonds, 1966); Regional metamorphosed pelitic rocks at Canigou Massif of the Pyrenees (Gibson, 1992); Barrovian type quartzofeldspathic gneiss in New Zealand (Cooper, 1972) and with eclogites in the Armorican Massif in France (Godard, 1988). The atoll garnet texture is also recognized in the Banded Iron Formations (BIF) of Maru Schist Belt, Northwestern Nigeria which is discussed in this work.

2.0 Regional Setting

The Nigerian basement complex (Dahomeyan Shield) rocks form part of the rejuvenated rocks between the West African and Congo Cratons and belongs to the pre-drift Pan African mobile belt that have been linked to the Boborema province of Brazil (Dada, 2008 and Goki *et al.*, 2010). A continental collision plate tectonic model (Burke and Dewey, 1972; Black *et al.*, 1979) has been accepted to be responsible for the reactivation of the Dahomeyan shield east of the Ghana-Togo-Benin suture zone (Figure 1).

The Nigerian Basement Complex has been differentiated into three broad lithostratigraphical groups:

i.) <u>The Migmatite-Gneiss Complex</u> or Basement Complex (*sensu strictu*) is composed of gneisses and migmatites with entrained supracrustal relics whose metamorphism is generally in the amphibolites facies grade. Other but relatively minor, rocks are amphibolites, calcareous rocks, and pegmatites (Wright *et al.*, 1985; Dada, 1999).

Rock ages ranges from Archaean to Upper Proterozoic, generally overprinted by the Pan-African event (750-450 Ma).

ii.) <u>The schist belts</u> occur in a 300 to 400 km wide zone, predominantly west of longitude 8°E, trending NNE, and can be traced along strike for about 800 km. They are apparently infolded into the Migmatite-Gneiss Complex. Lithologically, the schist belts are composed predominantly of pelitic and semi-pelitic schists, with intercalated quartzites, Banded Iron Formation (BIF), calc-silicate rocks and marbles as well as basic to acid meta-volcanics. Basement-cover relationship is still disputed, and even the distinction from the basement *sensu strictu* is not always clear (Trompette, 1994). Radiometric dates strongly testify to penetrative Pan-African event, but some of the schist belts may be of Birrimian age (Paleoproterozoic) or older. (Turner, 1983; Fitches *et al.*, 1985; Ajibade *et al.*, 1987; Trompette, 1994; Adekoya, 1996; Dada, 1999).

iii.) <u>The Older Granites</u> of Nigeria occur in all parts of the Nigerian basement (Ajibade *et al.*, 1987). They intruded into both the gneiss-migmatite (Dahomeyan?) and the schist belts by stopping and diapiric processes (Fitches *et al.*, 1985). They are generally regarded as syn – to post-tectonic with respect to the main deformation of the Pan-African tectonism.

The Maru Schist Belt (Figure 2) consists predominantly of pelitic to semi-pelitic metasediments interlayered with psammites, BIF and metabasic rocks (Egbuniwe, 1982; Adekoya, 1998; Ibrahim, 2010). All the rocks strike approximately N - S, parallel to the structural pattern of the surrounding basement complex. The pelites are represented by phyllites and schist while the psammatites and the semi-pelites are represented by quartzites and quartz schists. Metabasic rocks are amphibolites and greenschist. The schist and phyllites predominantly consist of muscovite, garnet and quartz with subordinate chlorite, biotite, epidote and tourmaline. The schists may also contain graphite, magnetite and pyrite in several localities especially in the south east (Egbuniwe, 1982). The Maru belt is intruded by Pan – African granitoid plutons, the intrusion of which causes the developments of chiastolite and sillimanite schists by contact metamorphism.

The Maru belt, like other schist belts of Nigeria exhibits complex structural patterns, as a consequence of poly metamorphism. At least three deformational episodes have been identified in the Maru belt corresponding to D_1 , D_2 and D_3 structures (Egbuniwe, 1982). Table 1 is a summary of the sequence of events in the Maru Schist Belt modified after Egbuniwe, (1982). The BIF is exposed in a range of northeast – southwest trending metasedimentary ridges within the Maru formation; mostly the ridges are truncated by a sub circular granitoid intrusion (Figure 2) and extend north and south of the intrusion for several kilometers. The iron formation consists of silicate facies with sporadic oxide facies and thin laminae of manganese oxide.

3.0 Materials and Methods

Representative samples of the BIF were collected from the eastern zone, defined by the Karakai hills; and the western zone, defined by the Baraba hills. Petrographic analyses, using reflected and transmitted light microscope were carried out. Chemical mapping using Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) fitted with Energy Dispersion Spectrometer (EDS) was also carried out on the samples at the Department of Mineralogy, University of Silesia, Poland. Microprobe analysis was carried out in the Inter-Institute Analytical Complex for Minerals and Synthesis substances in Warsaw, Poland, using Cameca SX 100 with a Phirho–2 correction program.

4.0 Results

The BIF is a dark grey, banded rock with a rhythmic alternation of light grey silica rich and darker Fe-oxide rich bands. The compositional bands are variable in thickness ranging from thin laminations 0.5 - 1cm thick to thicker bands 2cm - 5cm thick. Other varieties exhibit even thicker bands of contrasting rock types. These three types of banding represent the micro banding, meso banding and macro banding types respectively, Plate 1.

The BIF is generally granular in texture and the grain sizes are varied from fine to coarse. The microbanded BIF are composed of very fine-grained crystals of chert and other silicates in the light colored band and the dark band is composed of very fine-grained magnetite garnet, grunerite and other iron oxides, the atoll garnets are best developed in this band. The grain size is coarser in the mesobands. However, some of the mesobands are also locally micro banded, giving a microbanded mesoband appearance.

Generally, the BIF samples from Maru belt are composed of the following mineral composition:

1) The Fe oxide (dark) band:

Magnetite + Hematite + Quartz + Garnet + Grunerite + Siderite, other minerals are: Stilpnomelane,

Greenalite, Minnesotaite, Chalcopyrite, and Goethite.

2) The Silicate (light) band:

Grunerite + Garnet + Magnetite + Hematite + Quartz + Chamosite + Rhodochrosite + Siderite + Olivine + Pyroxene

Magnetite and hematite are the dominant minerals in the iron oxide band, hematite (martite) developed after magnetite. Amphibole and garnet occur in considerable amounts, they are next in abundance to the hematite and magnetite. Stilpnomelane, minnesotaite and greenalite represent the primary minerals while goethite that occurs in considerable proportion and chalcopyrite as secondary mineral (Plate 2).

Garnets occur as subhedral to anhedral crystals within the bands of the BIF. Normal, atoll and peninsular shaped garnets occur in the BIF units located near plutons in the Maru Schist Belt. The garnet crystals exhibit compositional variability. Figure 4 show variations in Mn, Fe, Mg, Ca, along the line of traverse O - P. Both the Normal (non atoll) and the atoll shaped garnet show compositional zoning characterized by increasing Fe and decreasing Mn from the core (Table 2, Plate 3, 4, 5, Figure 3 and 4). Variations in all these elements are very symmetrical across the garnet porphyroblast. The garnet is relatively low (<5wt %) in both calcium and magnesium. Magnesium shows little to no variation across the porphyroblast, while calcium shows slight enrichment towards the rims, followed by a slight drop at the edges of the porphyroblast. Manganese is characteristically high in the core and this concentration systematically decreases towards the rim where near the edges of the porphyroblast, it rises noticeably. Iron behaves in an opposite fashion to manganese as it is low in the core, rises steadily towards the rim, and then drops slightly at the mineral edges. In many instances the outer rim is Fe-rich and the center is Mn-rich.

The normal garnets in the samples contain inclusions of hematite and ilmenite (Plate 5). The atoll shaped garnets consist of garnet surrounded by a ring of chlorite, and inside the ring are the hematite and ilmenite replacements. The peninsula shaped garnets consist of garnet partially surrounded by chlorite (Plate 3).

Chlorite rims replaces the almandine-rich sections of the garnet crystals sorrounding the Mn rich cores. Lines of microprobe traverse O - P, R - S gives results that indicate differences in composition within the garnets, the inner sections are spessartine (Mn) rich, while the outer portions are almandine (Fe) rich (Plate 6).

5.0 Discussions

It is difficult to draw definite conclusion for mechanism of atoll formation using textural evidence alone, hence in this study, microprobe data is used to compliment petrographic evidence to explain the formation of atoll structures in garnets from the Maru Schist Belt. This schist belt is similar in setting and evolution to that in the Donegal region of the Republic of Ireland where the Dalradian metasedimentary rocks have been reported to contain atoll garnets within Ardara aureole in the pelitic rocks (Homam, 2003).

Although, the presence of cloudy cores in many small and porphyroblastic garnets suggest the possibility of incipient garnet replacement from the core (Semellie, 1974), in this study the replacement of garnet by chlorite is observed to be from the outer rims (Plate 6). Chemical mapping across garnet crystals (Plate 5 and 6; Table 2 and 3) shows regular difference in composition. Mn shows continuous decrease towards the rim, whereas Fe shows constant increase towards the rim. Ca is fairly constant across the traverse. The chlorite that develops in a circular to sub circular pattern follows the Fe-rich outer portions of the garnets replacing the almandine. It is evident from the petrographic data that the garnets and the chlorite replacements were formed during different mineral formation episodes. Considering the polymetamorphic nature of this belt it can be said that the garnets were formed by an earlier high grade metamorphic event and became involved subsequently in low grade metamorphic cycle that led to retrograde replacement of the garnets by chamosite.

The central parts of such crystals which are normally rich in spessartine contain inclusions of ilmenite, hematite and or pyrite that develop as the P - T conditions became elevated by replacement. From the microprobe analysis, it is evident that the genesis of the atoll like forms is by replacement of the initial normal garnet about a path that is rich in Fe by chlorite rather than by separate nucleation.

This is further strengthened by the lack evidence of fluid infiltration into the garnets. A similar conclusion was arrived at by Speiss *et al.*, (2000). The peninsular form developed in other areas lends support to this observation, as the peninsular (incomplete rings) developed within normal garnets following path defined by compositional differences rather than structural patterns. The central portions are selectively replaced by hematite and ilmenite that developed probably as pseudomorphs after garnet. This development of atoll garnets within the BIF sequence of the Maru Schist Belt shows that the BIF and the associated metasediments suffered at least two cycles of mineral formation episodes. The initial, lead to the formation of the garnet crystals, this may correspond to the Eburnean thermotectonic event. This is because BIF are reported to be confined to certain geologic ages, and that the BIF of Maru Schist Belt have been shown to belong to the Algoma type (Mucke *et al.*, 1996), or Lake Superior type (Ibrahim, 2010; Egbuniwe, 1982), in either case, the BIF is indicated to be older than the Mesoproterozoic. Therefore, since the BIF is syngenetic with the associated rocks, (Klien, 2005; Mucke *et al.*, 1996) the initial deformation of the Maru formation could not have occurred during the Kibaran (1100 Ma) as indicated by Egbuniwe, (1982) and Fitches *et al.*, (1985), since this age bracket does not fall within the metallogenetic epoch of BIF as shown by Gole and Klein, (1981); Walker *et al.*, (1983); Trendall *et al.*, (2004); Klein, (2005).

This development of atoll garnets in the BIF therefore supports the proposal of Dada, (1998) that the metasedimentary belts of Nigeria belongs to the Paleoproterozoic which is contrary to the views of Ajibade *et al* (1987) which supposes that the schist belts of Nigeria to be Pan African (600±150 Ma). The difficulty in determining the age of the metasedimentary rocks of Nigeria is related to the obliterating effect caused by the Pan African which led to the resetting of mineral ages, thus making it a challenging task. However, the Pan African is regarded by many workers to have mildly affected the northwestern Nigeria basement complex. Large scale plutonism was however shown to be associated with the Pan African (McCurry, 1976; Ajibade *et al.*, 1987) this Pan African plutonism led to the emplacement of the Damaga, Kanoma and Maiinch plutons (Figure 2). It is envisaged that these plutons might have affected the Maru formation causing localized metasomatic changes to the rocks within the contact aureole. These changes are recorded in the BIF in form of martitization of magnetite (Ibrahim, 2010; Mucke, 2005); and the development of atoll garnets due to replacement by chlorite (chamosite).

The atoll garnets are associated with both the silicate and oxide facies BIF in this belt. The silicate facies is the dominant type of occurrence in the area. While the oxide facies is represented in the Baraba, Karakai and Gamagiwa BIF as minor occurrences. This is the first reported occurrence of atoll garnets in the Nigerian schist belts.

7.0 Conclusions

From petrographic evidence, microprobe and BSE image data it can be concluded that atoll garnets in the Banded Iron formations of the Maru Schist Belt developed by the replacement of garnets by chlorite, hematite, pyrite and in some places ilmenite. The presence of incomplete diffusional modification (peninsular) as the initial stage of garnet replacement process matches well the development of atoll textures, as dissolution replacement is supposed to have progressed faster upon those parts of garnet that had failed to change their composition this is also in accordance with (Homam, 2003). The garnets crystals were probably formed during the Eburnean thermotectonic event, while the replacement might have been triggered off by a subsequent metamorphic activity caused by the plutonism associated with the Pan – African thermotectonic event. Therefore, the occurrence of atoll garnet within BIF that have metallogenetic epochs serves as petrographic evidence for the Paleoproterozoic age of the schist belts of Nigeria.

Acknowledgements

Prof. I. Garba, Kano State University of Science and Technology, Wudil is gratefully acknowledged for his guidance and contributions. Contributions from colleagues at both the Department of Geology A.B.U. Zaria and at University of Silesia, Poland, are gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Adekoya, J.A., 1998. The geology and geochemistry of the Maru Banded Iron- Formation, northwestern Nigeria. Journal of African Earth Science, 27 (2), pp. 241-257.
- Adekoya, J. A., (1996). The Nigerian Schist belts: Age and depositional environment implications from associated banded iron-formations. Journal Mining and Geology, 32: pp. 27–46
- Annor, A.E., 1995. U-Pb zircon age for Kabba-Okene granodiorite gneiss: implications for Nigerias basement chronology. African Geoscience Review. 2, pp. 101–105.
- Artherton M.P., Edmonds W.M., 1966. An electron microprobe study of some zoned garnets from metamorphic rocks. Earth and Planetry Science Letters. 1, pp. 185–193.
- Ajibade, A. C., Woakes, M. and Rahaman, M. A., 1987. Proterozoic crustal development in the Pan African regime of Nigeria. American Geophysical Journal, pp. 259 271.
- Black, R., Caby, R., Monssine Pouchkin, A., Bayer, R., Bertrand, J. M., Bourllier, A. M., Fabri, J. and Lesquer, A., (1979). Evidence for Late Precambrian plate tectonics in West Africa. Nature, pp. 278: 233–237.
- Bruguier, O., Dada, S.S., Lancelot, J.R., 1991. Time constraints for early crustal formation in Northern Nigeria by single and multiple crystal U-Pb zircon dating. In: Terra 7th Meeting of European Union of Geosciences. Strasbourg. Terra Abstracts. 3, p. 506.
- Bruguier, O., Dada, S.S., Lancelot, J.R., 1994. Early Archaean component (>3.5 Ga) within a 3.05 Ga orthogneiss from northern Nigeria: U-Pb zircon evidence. Earth and Planetary Science Letters. pp. 125, 89-103.
- Burke, K.C., Dewey, J.F., 1972. Orogeny in Africa. In: African Geology: Dessauvagie, T.J.F., Whiteman, A.J. (Eds.), University Press, Ibadan. pp. 583-608.
- Casco A.G. and Roldán R.L. 1996. Disequilibrium induced by fast decompression in St-Bt-Grt-Ky-Sil-And metapelites from the Betic Belt, Southern Spain. Journal of Petrology, 37: pp. 1207-1239
- Cooper A.F. 1972. Progressive metamorphism of metabasic rocks from the Haast Schist Group of southern New Zealand. Ibid., 13:pp. 457-492.
- Dada, S.S., 1998. Crust forming ages and Proterozoic crustal evolution in Nigeria: A re-appraisal of current interpretations. Precambrian Research.pp. 87: 65-74
- Dada, S.S., 1999. Geochemistry and petrogenensis of the reworked gneiss complex of North Central Nigeria: Major and trace elements studies on Kaduna amphibolites and migmatite gneisses. Global Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences, 5 (4): pp. 535-543
- Dada, S.S., 2008. Proterzoic evolution of the Nigeria-Boborema province, *Geological Society London* Special publication. 294: pp. 121-136.
- Dada, S.S., Tubosun, I.A., Lancelot, J.R., Lar, A.U., 1993. Late Archaean U-Pb age for the reactivated basement of Northeastern Nigeria. Journal of African Earth Science. 16,pp. 405- 412.
- Dada, S.S., Respaut, J.P., 1989. La monzonite a fayalite de Bauchi (bauchite): nouveau temoin d'un magmatisme syntectonique Pan-Africain au nord du Nigeria. C.R. Academy of Science. Paris 309 (II), pp. 887-892.
- Dada, S.S., Lancelot, J.R., Briqueu, L., 1989. Age and origin of the annular charnockitic complex at Toro, Northern Nigeria: U-Pb and Sr evidence. Journal of African Earth Science. 9 (2) pp. 227-234.
- Egbuniwe I. G., 1982. Geotetonic Evolution of the Maru belt, northwestern Nigeria. Ph.D thesis, University of Wales, Aberystwyth, U.K.
- Ekwueme, B. N., (1990). Rb–Sr ages and petrologic features of Precambrian rocks from the Oban Massif, Southeastern Nigeria. Precambrian Research_pp. 47: 271 286
- Ferre´, E., De'le´ris, J., Bouchez, J.-L., Lar, A.U., Peucat, J.-J., 1996. The Pan-African reactivation of Eburnian and Archaean provinces in Nigeria: structural and isotopic data. Journal of Geolological Society. pp.153, 719–728.
- Fitches, W. R., Ajibade, A. C., Egbuniwe, I. G., Holt, R. W., and Wright J. B., 1985. Late Proterozoic Schist belts and plutonism in NM Nigeria. Journal of Geological Society of London, pp. 142: 319–337.
- Gibson, R.L., 1992. Sequential syndeformational growth duing Hercynian low pressure high temperature metamorphism in Canigou Massif, Pyrenees. Journal of Metamorphic Geology, 10, pp. 637-650.
- Godard, G. 1988. Petrology of some eclogites in the Hercynides: The eclogites from the southern American Massif, France. In: Smith E.D. (Ed.) Eclogites and Eclogite-Facies Rocks. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 451-519.
- Goki, N.G, Dada, S.S, Oha, A.I. and Moumouni A., 2010. An interpretation of tectonic elements of the Kanke Basement Complex, Central Nigeria. Online Journal of Earth Sciences, 4(2): pp. 89-94
- Gole, M.J. and Klein, C. (1981) Banded Iron-Formation through much of Precambrian time. Journal of Geology, 89, pp. 169.183.

- Homam, S. M., 2003. Formation of atoll garnet in the Ardara aureole, NW Ireland. Journal of Islamic Republic of Iran 14 (3), pp. 247 -258.
- Ibrahim, A.A., 2010. Petrology, geochemistry and genesis of banded iron formation of Maru Schist Belt, northwestern Nigeria. Ph.D thesis, Ahmadu Bello University Zaria, Nigeria.
- Kennedy, W.G., 1964. The structural differentiation of African in the Pan-African (+500 Ma) tectonic episode. Research Institute for African Geology, (Leeds) 8th Annual Report, pp. 48-49.
- Klein, C. 2005. Some Precambrian BIF from around the world: Their age, geologic setting, mineralogy, metamorphism, geochemistry and origin. American Mineralogist. 90: pp. 1473–1499.
- McCurry, P. (1976): Geology of the Precambrian to Lower Paleozoic rocks of northern Nigeria a review. *In*: C.A Kogbe (Ed.) Geology of Nigeria pp.15 39. Elizabethan Publication Lagos.
- Mucke, A., Annor, A., and Neumann, U. (1996). The Algoma type Iron formation of Nigerian metavolcanosedimentary schist belts. Mineral Deposita, 31: pp. 113–122.
- Mucke, A. (2005). The Nigerian Manganese rich Iron-Formations and their host rocks from sedimentation to metamorphism. Journal of African Earth Science, 41: pp. 407–436.
- O'Brien, P.J. and Carswell, T., 2006: Atoll garnet formation in an eclogite from Dabie Mts., China. http://www.geo.unipotsdam.de/mitarbeiter/index.html.
- Ogezi, A.E.O. 1988. Origin and evolution of the basement complex of NW Nigeria in the light of geochemical and geochronological data. In: Oluyide *et al.*, (Eds). The Precambrian geology of Nigeria. Geological survey of Nigeria Publication, Kaduna, Nigeria. pp. 301- 312.
- Oversby, V.M., 1975. Lead isotopic study of aplites from the Precambrian basement rocks near Ibadan, southwestern Nigeria. Earth and Planetary Science Letters. 27, pp. 177-180.
- Pidgeon, R.T., Van Breemen, O., Oyawoye, M.O., 1976. Pan-African and earlier events in the basement complex of Nigeria. 25th Iternational Geological Conference. Sydney. Abstract 3, pp. 667.
- Rahaman, M. A., 1988. Recent advances in the study of the basement complex of Nigeria. In: Precambrian Geology of Nigeria. Geological Survey of Nigeria Publication, Kaduna, pp.11-43.
- Rahaman, M.A., Emofurieta, W.O. Vanchette, M.C., 1983. The potassic granites of igbetti area: further evidence of the poly cyclic evolution of the Pan-African belt in SW Nigeria. Precambrian Research. pp. 22, 75-92.
- Rahaman, M.A., Tubosun, I.A., Lancelot, J.R. 1991. U-Pb geochronology of potassic syenites os SW Nigeria and the timing of deformational events during the Pan-African orogeny. Journal of African Earth Science. 13, pp. 387-395.
- Semellie J.A.T., 1974. Formation of atoll garnet from the aureole of the Ardara Plateau Co. Donegal, Ireland. Mineralogical magazine 39, pp. 878-888.
- Speiss R., Perazzo L., Prior D.J. and Wheeler J., 2000. Development of garnet porphyroblasts by multi nucleation, coalescence and boundary misorientation driven rotations. Journal of metamorphic petrology 20, pp. 637-650.
- Spisiak, J. and Hovorka, D., 2003. Eclogites with atoll-like garnets: raw material of an Aeneonlithic axe from site Svodin, Slovakia. Krystalinikum, 29, pp. 139-146.
- Trompette, R. 1994. Geology of West Gondwana (2000-500 Ma). Pan-African Brasilano aggregation of South America and Africa. Balkem, Rotterdam Brookfield.
- Tubosun, I.A., Lancelot, J.R., Rahaman, M.A., Ocan, O.O., 1984. U-Pb Pan-African ages of two charnockite-granite associations from SW Nigeria. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology. 88, pp. 188-195.
- Turner, D. C. 1983. Upper Proterozoic Schist belts in the Nigerian sector of the Pan African Province of West Africa. Precambrian Research 21: pp. 55–79.
- Trendall, A.F., Compston, W., Nelson, D.R., De Laeter, J.R., and Bennett, V.C. 2004. SHRIMP zircon ages constraining the depositional chronology of the Hamersley Group, Western Australia. Australian Journal of Earth Sciences, 51: pp. 621.644.
- Van Breemen, O., Pidgeon R.T., Bowden, P. 1977. Age and isotopic studies of some Pan-African granites from North Central Nigeria. Precambrian Research. pp. 4, 307-319.
- Walker, J.C.G., Klein, C., Schidlowski, M., Schopf, J. W., Stevenson, D. J. and Walter, M. R. 1983. The environmental evolution of the Archaean-Early Proterozoic Earth. *In:* J. W. Schopf, (Ed.) Earths' Biosphere, its origin and Evolution. pp. 260 – 290, Princeton University Press, New Jersey.
- Wright, J. B., Hastings, D. A., Jones, W. B. and Williams, H. R., (1985). Geology and Mineral Resources of West Africa. Allen and Unwin. London.

STEP	EVENT	AGE				
10	Localised D ₄ deformation of the Maru Formation under greenschist facies condition.					
9	Emplacement of Kanoma and Sabon Gida peralkaline-peraluminous plutons; Localised deformation and	<577				
	contact metamorphism of metasedimentary envelope.	m.y				
8	Localised deformation : D_2 in Gusau Granite Gneiss under greenschist facies					
	conditions(retrograde)					
	D_3 in Maru Formation under greenschist facies conditions					
	(retrograde)					
	D_4 in Gusau Migmatites under greenschist facies conditions					
	(retrograde)					
7	Emplacement of Damaga and Riorji calc-alkaline plutons; localised contact metamorphism of the Maru					
	Formation.					
6	Regional deformation: D_1 in Maiinchi batholith and Gusau Granite gneiss producing	678-577				
	regional trends and structures under amphibolite facies conditions.	m.y.				
	D_2 in Maru Formation under greenschist facies conditions.					
	D_3 in Gusau migmatites under amphibolite facies conditions.					
5	Emplacement of Gusau Granite gneiss and Maiinchi batholith.					
4	Regional deformation: D_1 in Maru Formation under greenschist to lower amphibolite facies conditions.	1900 ±				
	D ₂ Gusau migmatites under amphibolite facies conditions	250				
3	Deposition of Maru Formation: contemporaneous subaqueous extrusion.	2500				
2	Emplacement of basic dykes in gneissified rocks.	3100 -				
	D ₁ deformation of Gusau migmatites under amphibolite facies conditions.	2750				
1	Formation of parental rocks of Gusau migmatites of igneous or sedimentary origin.					

Table 1: Sequence of main events in the Maru Belt (modified after Egbuniwe, 1982).

Table 2: Microprobe results for traverse analysis across a garnet crystal in Plate 5a

Oxide	Point 1	Point 2	Point 3	Point 4	Point 5	Point6	Point7	Point8	
SiO2	36.532	36.398	36.777	36.635	35.970	36.462	36.590	36.316	
TiO2	0.203	0.208	0.156	0.100	0.046	0.049	0.094	0.111	
Al2O3	19.962	20.060	20.310	20.339	20.473	20.422	20.552	20.659	
Cr2O3	0.007	0.005	0.015	0.012	0.049	0.000	0.022	0.000	
Fe2O3	1.065	0.935	0.652	0.707	0.148	0.447	0.408	0.078	
MgO	0.260	0.262	0.229	0.165	0.248	0.228	0.250	0.274	
CaO	3.844	4.221	4.290	4.390	3.578	3.950	3.634	3.123	
MnO	26.426	24.928	23.113	22.176	18.353	19.147	20.751	22.049	
FeO	11.963	13.262	14.701	16.004	20.594	19.209	18.375	17.601	
NiO	0.033	0.000	0.000	0.013	0.000	0.012	0.067	0.000	
Na2O	0.000	0.001	0.035	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	
K2O	0.003	0.000	0.014	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	100.298	100.280	100.292	100.541	99.459	99.926	100.743	100.212	
Oxide	Point 9	Point 10	Point 11	Point 12	Point 13	Point 14	Point 15	Point 16	
Oxide SiO2	Point 9 36.477	Point 10 36.431	Point 11 36.209	Point 12 36.437	Point 13 36.383	Point 14 36.667	Point 15 36.770	Point 16 36.547	
Oxide SiO2 TiO2	Point 9 36.477 0.139	Point 10 36.431 0.174	Point 11 36.209 0.232	Point 12 36.437 0.195	Point 13 36.383 0.151	Point 14 36.667 0.166	Point 15 36.770 0.087	Point 16 36.547 0.069	
Oxide SiO2 TiO2 Al2O3	Point 9 36.477 0.139 20.651	Point 10 36.431 0.174 20.238	Point 11 36.209 0.232 20.503	Point 12 36.437 0.195 20.584	Point 13 36.383 0.151 20.461	Point 14 36.667 0.166 20.523	Point 15 36.770 0.087 20.495	Point 16 36.547 0.069 20.319	
Oxide SiO2 TiO2 Al2O3 Cr2O3	Point 9 36.477 0.139 20.651 0.002	Point 10 36.431 0.174 20.238 0.012	Point 11 36.209 0.232 20.503 0.039	Point 12 36.437 0.195 20.584 0.037	Point 13 36.383 0.151 20.461 0.046	Point 14 36.667 0.166 20.523 0.045	Point 15 36.770 0.087 20.495 0.050	Point 16 36.547 0.069 20.319 0.005	
Oxide SiO2 TiO2 Al2O3 Cr2O3 Fe2O3	Point 9 36.477 0.139 20.651 0.002 0.187	Point 10 36.431 0.174 20.238 0.012 0.503	Point 11 36.209 0.232 20.503 0.039 0.152	Point 12 36.437 0.195 20.584 0.037 0.195	Point 13 36.383 0.151 20.461 0.046 0.344	Point 14 36.667 0.166 20.523 0.045 0.309	Point 15 36.770 0.087 20.495 0.050 0.500	Point 16 36.547 0.069 20.319 0.005 0.663	
Oxide SiO2 TiO2 Al2O3 Cr2O3 Fe2O3 MgO	Point 9 36.477 0.139 20.651 0.002 0.187 0.274	Point 10 36.431 0.174 20.238 0.012 0.503 0.250	Point 11 36.209 0.232 20.503 0.039 0.152 0.247	Point 12 36.437 0.195 20.584 0.037 0.195 0.267	Point 13 36.383 0.151 20.461 0.046 0.344 0.245	Point 14 36.667 0.166 20.523 0.045 0.309 0.257	Point 15 36.770 0.087 20.495 0.050 0.500 0.236	Point 16 36.547 0.069 20.319 0.005 0.663 0.305	
Oxide SiO2 TiO2 Al2O3 Cr2O3 Fe2O3 MgO CaO	Point 9 36.477 0.139 20.651 0.002 0.187 0.274 3.069	Point 10 36.431 0.174 20.238 0.012 0.503 0.250 3.302	Point 11 36.209 0.232 20.503 0.039 0.152 0.247 3.008	Point 12 36.437 0.195 20.584 0.037 0.195 0.267 3.718	Point 13 36.383 0.151 20.461 0.046 0.344 0.245 4.322	Point 14 36.667 0.166 20.523 0.045 0.309 0.257 3.837	Point 15 36.770 0.087 20.495 0.050 0.500 0.236 3.421	Point 16 36.547 0.069 20.319 0.005 0.663 0.305 3.949	
Oxide SiO2 TiO2 Al2O3 Cr2O3 Fe2O3 MgO CaO MnO	Point 9 36.477 0.139 20.651 0.002 0.187 0.274 3.069 21.638	Point 10 36.431 0.174 20.238 0.012 0.503 0.250 3.302 21.081	Point 11 36.209 0.232 20.503 0.039 0.152 0.247 3.008 21.397	Point 12 36.437 0.195 20.584 0.037 0.195 0.267 3.718 20.225	Point 13 36.383 0.151 20.461 0.046 0.344 0.245 4.322 20.247	Point 14 36.667 0.166 20.523 0.045 0.309 0.257 3.837 20.039	Point 15 36.770 0.087 20.495 0.050 0.500 0.236 3.421 20.069	Point 16 36.547 0.069 20.319 0.005 0.663 0.305 3.949 18.812	
Oxide SiO2 TiO2 Al2O3 Cr2O3 Fe2O3 MgO CaO MnO FeO	Point 9 36.477 0.139 20.651 0.002 0.187 0.274 3.069 21.638 18.241	Point 10 36.431 0.174 20.238 0.012 0.503 0.250 3.302 21.081 17.804	Point 11 36.209 0.232 20.503 0.039 0.152 0.247 3.008 21.397 18.551	Point 12 36.437 0.195 20.584 0.037 0.195 0.267 3.718 20.225 18.894	Point 13 36.383 0.151 20.461 0.046 0.344 0.245 4.322 20.247 17.922	Point 14 36.667 0.166 20.523 0.045 0.309 0.257 3.837 20.039 18.602	Point 15 36.770 0.087 20.495 0.050 0.500 0.236 3.421 20.069 19.266	Point 16 36.547 0.069 20.319 0.005 0.663 0.305 3.949 18.812 19.494	
Oxide SiO2 TiO2 Al2O3 Cr2O3 Fe2O3 MgO CaO MnO FeO NiO	Point 9 36.477 0.139 20.651 0.002 0.187 0.274 3.069 21.638 18.241 0.000	Point 10 36.431 0.174 20.238 0.012 0.503 0.250 3.302 21.081 17.804 0.000	Point 11 36.209 0.232 20.503 0.039 0.152 0.247 3.008 21.397 18.551 0.000	Point 12 36.437 0.195 20.584 0.037 0.195 0.267 3.718 20.225 18.894 0.000	Point 13 36.383 0.151 20.461 0.046 0.344 0.245 4.322 20.247 17.922 0.000	Point 14 36.667 0.166 20.523 0.045 0.309 0.257 3.837 20.039 18.602 0.053	Point 15 36.770 0.087 20.495 0.050 0.500 0.236 3.421 20.069 19.266 0.003	Point 16 36.547 0.069 20.319 0.005 0.663 0.305 3.949 18.812 19.494 0.029	
Oxide SiO2 TiO2 Al2O3 Cr2O3 Fe2O3 MgO CaO MnO FeO NiO Na2O	Point 9 36.477 0.139 20.651 0.002 0.187 0.274 3.069 21.638 18.241 0.000 0.000	Point 10 36.431 0.174 20.238 0.012 0.503 0.250 3.302 21.081 17.804 0.000 0.012	Point 11 36.209 0.232 20.503 0.039 0.152 0.247 3.008 21.397 18.551 0.000 0.006	Point 12 36.437 0.195 20.584 0.037 0.195 0.267 3.718 20.225 18.894 0.000 0.000	Point 13 36.383 0.151 20.461 0.046 0.344 0.245 4.322 20.247 17.922 0.000 0.001	Point 14 36.667 0.166 20.523 0.045 0.309 0.257 3.837 20.039 18.602 0.053 0.004	Point 15 36.770 0.087 20.495 0.050 0.500 0.236 3.421 20.069 19.266 0.003 0.004	Point 16 36.547 0.069 20.319 0.005 0.663 0.305 3.949 18.812 19.494 0.029 0.008	
Oxide SiO2 TiO2 Al2O3 Cr2O3 Fe2O3 MgO CaO MnO FeO NiO Na2O K2O	Point 9 36.477 0.139 20.651 0.002 0.187 0.274 3.069 21.638 18.241 0.000 0.000 0.000	Point 10 36.431 0.174 20.238 0.012 0.503 0.250 3.302 21.081 17.804 0.000 0.012 0.000	Point 11 36.209 0.232 20.503 0.039 0.152 0.247 3.008 21.397 18.551 0.000 0.006 0.000	Point 12 36.437 0.195 20.584 0.037 0.195 0.267 3.718 20.225 18.894 0.000 0.000	Point 13 36.383 0.151 20.461 0.046 0.344 0.245 4.322 20.247 17.922 0.000 0.001 0.041	Point 14 36.667 0.166 20.523 0.045 0.309 0.257 3.837 20.039 18.602 0.053 0.004 0.000	Point 15 36.770 0.087 20.495 0.050 0.500 0.236 3.421 20.069 19.266 0.003 0.004 0.000	Point 16 36.547 0.069 20.319 0.005 0.663 0.305 3.949 18.812 19.494 0.029 0.008 0.000	

OxidePoint	O#101	#102	#103	#104	#105	#106	#107	#108	
SiO2	39.002	36.750	36.425	36.292	35.557	36.490	36.196	36.420	
TiO2	0.155	0.142	0.142	0.327	0.425	0.382	0.323	0.218	
Al2O3	21.822	20.106	20.101	20.079	19.311	20.077	20.131	20.098	
Cr2O3	0.011	0.000	0.036	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.007	
Fe2O3	0.000	1.172	0.834	0.737	1.433	0.812	0.717	0.854	
MgO	0.262	0.154	0.165	0.155	0.122	0.117	0.119	0.150	
CaO	5.511	5.864	5.376	6.715	6.715 6.807 5	5.744	5.434	5.419	
MnO	13.915	18.562	19.389	19.533	21.173	22.729	23.463	23.443	
FeO	20.659	17.972	972 17.329 15.686 13.72	13.725	13.873	13.873 13.710 13.			
NiO	0.061	0.039	0.017	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Na2O	0.015	0.005	0.000	0.001	0.016	0.005	0.000	0.000	
K2O	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	101.413	100.766	99.814	99.533	98.569	100.229	100.097	99.995	
OxidePoint	#109	#110	#111	#112	#113	#114	#115	P#116	
SiO2	36.445	36.522	36.591	36.429	36.411	36.359	36.454	36.135	
TiO2	0.349	0.317	0.248	0.325	0.322	0.214	0.143	0.216	
Al2O3	19.179	19.987	20.231	19.916	20.061	19.958	19.930	19.792	
Cr2O3	0.000	0.017	0.010	0.051	0.039	0.000	0.010	0.024	
Fe2O3	2.034	0.963	0.655	0.885	0.770	1.273	1.213	1.201	
MgO	0.218	0.131	0.114	0.099	0.122	0.157	0.159	0.215	
CaO	5.090	5.176	5.253	5.786	6.299	5.469	5.787	6.119	
MnO	22.731	23.168	22.688	22.088	20.947	19.804	18.020	15.550	
FeO	13.994	13.998	14.274	14.093	14.829	17.557	18.405	20.391	
NiO	0.000	0.000	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Na2O	0.005	0.003	0.023	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.004	0.000	
K2O	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	100.045	100.282	100.095	99.672	99.800	100.798	100.125	99.643	

Table 3: Microprobe results	for	traverse	analysis	across	O –]	P in
	Pla	ite 6				



Figure 1. Location of the Nigerian shield in the major structural units of West Africa and the Brazilian belt following the pre-Mesozoic reconstruction of Caby (1989).



Figure 2. Geological map of Maru Schist Belt



Figure 3. In the triangular diagram the garnets in the BIF show compositional characteristics midway between spessartite and almandine garnets.



Figure 4. Plot of variations in elements Fe, Mn, Mg, and Ca across garnet porphyroblast. Analysis done in a line transect O – P, of 16 equidistant spot analyses.



Plate 1. a) Photomicrograph showing microbanding in the BIF in transmitted plane polarized light, the iron-rich band (Fe) alternates with chert rich band (Ch); b) Field photograph of the BIF with meso- and macro-banding types represented.



Plate 2. a) Martite – Mt develops after magnetite – M as replacement mineral in the BIF. The Fe – oxide minerals are associated with garnet – G, grunerite – Gr and rhodochrosite – Rd. b) Garnet crystals exhibiting replacement structures resembling atolls – A and peninsular – P shapes within the Fe – oxide band. Reflected light, plane polarized



Plate 3. . a) Transmitted light photomicrograph showing chamosite – C replacements in garnets – Gt intergrown with grunerite – Gr; in reflected light (b), the garnet crystals are obviously distinguishable from the coexisting grunerite – Gr and the highly reflecting magnetite – M.



Plate 4. a) Differences in the degree of replacement in the garnets varies and proceeds towards the centre of the crystal as shown in crystals X and Y, in both transmitted and reflected light as in A and B.



Plate 5. BSE image of the oxide band of the BIF with atoll like textures on garnets – gt intergrown with grunerite - gr. Analysis points along a traverse lines through the garnet crystal are indicated in (a); inclusions of ilmenite - lt, and hematite – h are represented in (b).



Plate 6. BSE image of the BIF showing crystals of garnet – Gt within fine-grained grunerite – Gr , with the garnets exhibiting various degrees of alteration. Microprobe analysis traverse lines are indicated on the crystals.